


## Influencing central and local government: Breakdown by Territorial Authority

Downloaded from <https://www.canterburywellbeing.org.nz/our-wellbeing/civic-engagement/influencing-central-and-local-government/#link-breakdown-by-territorial-authority> on 22/01/2025 6:15 PM



The figure shows that one quarter of Christchurch City respondents agreed or strongly agreed that they had enough say in the actions of central and local government agencies, 2018 to 2020. The proportion of respondents in the Selwyn and Waimakariri districts who agreed or strongly agreed that they had enough say in the actions of central and local government agencies tends to be higher than among Christchurch City respondents, for 2018-2020. However, the proportions agreeing or strongly agreeing in Christchurch City and Selwyn District have decreased statistically significantly in 2022 and are significantly lower than for Waimakariri District (approximately 20%, Christchurch City and Selwyn District; 29% Waimakariri District).

### Data Sources for Influencing central and local government

**Source:** Te Whatu Ora Waitaha Canterbury.

**Survey/data set:** Canterbury Wellbeing Survey to 2022. Access publicly available data from Te Mana Ora | Community and Public Health website [www.cph.co.nz/your-health/wellbeing-survey/](http://www.cph.co.nz/your-health/wellbeing-survey/)

**Source data frequency:** Annually.

Metadata for the Influencing central and local government indicator is available at <https://www.canterburywellbeing.org.nz/index-data>

## REFERENCES

---

This is the full reference list for **Civic Engagement**.

- 1 Community and Public Health (2011) Christchurch city health profile: democratic participation. Christchurch: Canterbury District Health Board.
- 2 Blais A, Young R, Lipp M (2003) The calculus of voting: An empirical test. *European Journal of Political Research* 37: 181-201.
- 3 Dalton RJ (2013) *Citizen Politics: Public Opinion and Political Parties in Advanced Industrial Democracies*. Washington DC: Congressional Quarterly Press.
- 4 Niemi RG, Craig SC, Mattei F (1991) Measuring Internal Political Efficacy in the 1988 National Election Study. *The American Political Science Review* 85: 1407-1413.
- 5 Robert Putnam (1993) *Making Democracy Work: Civic Traditions in Modern Italy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- 6 Solijonov A (2016) *Voter Turnout Trends around the World*. Stockholm, Sweden: International Institute for Democracy and Electoral Assistance.
- 7 Department of Internal Affairs (2016) The Social Report 2016: Te pūrongo oranga tangata. Wellington: Department of Internal Affairs.
- 8 Robert Putnam (2000) *Bowling Alone: The Collapse and Revival of American Community* New York: Simon and Schuster.
- 9 OECD (2019) Voting, in *Society at a Glance 2019: OECD Social Indicators*, OECD Publishing, Paris.
- 10 Electoral Commission (2021) *Report of the Electoral Commission on the 2020 General Election and referendums: Provided in accordance with section 8(1) of the Electoral Act 1993*. Wellington: Ministry of Justice.
- 11 NZ Electorate Boundary Review (2020) Report of the Representation Commission 2020. Wellington.